

## Trump Advisor Dr Atlas Drops Good Bombshell On COVID Narrative

by Michael Thau 3 days ago 384 Views



By Michael Thau September 25, 2020 | Image Source : Red State

If you've ever wondered what it would be like to watch a physicist try to explain quantum mechanics to a room full of delinquent kindergartners, we finally have a pretty good idea.

Most of our readers probably already know that Trump appointed Dr. Scott Atlas of Stanford University's prestigious Hoover Institution to his COVID-19 task force last month.

As I **noted** when the good news broke:

Dr. Atlas is one of the **thousands** of medical experts the Democrats and their media enablers don't want you to know about who've been trying to put the breaks on the suicidal, anti-science response to COVID-19 they've **conned** us into following.

Way back in **April**, Dr. Atlas tried to save America from the deadly quack medicine we were convinced to guzzle down like a junkie who's stumbled on a gallon jug of cough syrup in an op-ed titled:

### **The Data Is in — Stop the Panic and End the Total Isolation.**

He explained five facts that were already apparent a month after lockdowns started and some of which should have prevented anyone from ever even suggesting the heretofore unheard-of lunacy.

**Fact 1:** The overwhelming **majority** of people do not have any significant risk of dying from COVID-19.

**Fact 2:** Protecting the older, at-risk population would have been sufficient to prevent hospital **overcrowding**, (which it turns out was **never** a danger anyway).

**Fact 3:** Total isolation policies, if effective, **PREVENT** vital herd immunity and, hence, only **PROLONG** the problem.

Fact 4: People are **dying** because medical care for other ailments was shut down due to hypothetical COVID-19 fatality projections (that were produced by a guy with a **history** of massively inflated projections and, predictably, turned out to be total **garbage**).

Fact 5: We have a clearly defined population at risk who could've been better protected by adopting targeted **measures**.

In **May**, Dr. Atlas tried to get the word out that the useless untargeted measures inflicted on us instead are going to kill far more people than even the most **exaggerated** projections about the virus's death toll used to con us into accepting them.

But now that Dr. Atlas is an official member of Trump's COVID-19 team, he gets to address the White House press directly. And the results yesterday were as predictable as they were welcome.

It started when NBC's Peter Alexander asked Dr. Atlas about *CDC director Robert Redfield's* testimony to Congress earlier in the day. Redfield had claimed that 90% of Americans still don't have immunity to SARS-CoV-2, the virus that's supposed to cause the array of symptoms characterizing COVID-19.

Anyone paying attention to the data coming in these last couple months knew that Redfield had to be either so woefully misinformed or straight-up lying that he ought to have been fired and quite possibly indicted for perjury before the day was through.

And, Dr. Atlas was polite but pulled no punches letting the press know that Redfield's testimony simply wasn't true.

Alexander's response told you all you need to know about how worthless most of the corporate press is. He asked Dr. Atlas "Who are we to believe?"

In response, Dr. Atlas gave him a list of the research proving that, contrary to what Redfield had told Congress, **much more** than 10 per cent of Americans have immunity to COVID-19. He had to remind Alexander, that he could, you know, look up the data and figure out who was right.

But, though Alexander fancies himself a journalist, like most of his colleagues he's really just a glorified gossip columnist. It simply never even occurred to him to look at the research himself and report anything deeper than what someone else has said about it.

One problem Dr. Atlas pointed out is that what Redfield told Congress came from data which, in many cases, is over **five months** out of date:

The data he was talking about is [CDC] surveillance data which showed that roughly 9% of the country has antibodies. But when you look at the CDC data state by state, much of that data is old. Some of it goes back to March or April, before many of these states had the cases. That's point number one.

But it is **point number two** that's the real kicker. Researchers have known since the middle of [the last century](#) that, besides antibody-producing B-cells, your body also has a second equally important defence against infections provided by **T-cells**.

In fact, the development of the vaccines the medical establishment will soon be pushing depends upon the existence of T-cells. Though, oddly enough, the idea that we need a vaccine at all depends upon pretending they don't exist.

**One function of T-cells is to stimulate B-cells to produce antibodies. But T-cells can also fight off a virus even if you're body doesn't have the ability to generate antibodies by directly attacking and killing infected cells.**

As Dr. Atlas told the shocked members of the White House press corps, even if Redfield hadn't been citing data from half a year ago:-

**Immunity to the infection is not solely determined by the per cent of people who have antibodies. If you look at the research – and there's been about 24 papers at least on the immunity from T-cells, a different type of immunity than antibodies. [...]The reality is that according to the papers from Sweden, Singapore, and elsewhere, there is cross immunity highly likely from other infections and there is also T-cell immunity. And the combination of those makes the antibodies a small fraction of the people that have immunity. So the answer is no, it is not 90% of people that are susceptible to infection.**

Moreover, even if it turns out that immunity from antibodies fades quickly, crossover immunity from T-cells doesn't. As I pointed out last [month](#), T-cell immunity to coronaviruses has been shown to last as long as 17 years.

T-cell immunity to the coronavirus that's alleged to have caused the SARS outbreak in 2003 has also been shown to provide crossover immunity to COVID-19.

But the good news that Redfield is either criminally oblivious to or committed perjury is even better.

As I pointed out last week in my exposé on how [China](#) was spreading propaganda to create an unjustified panic about COVID-19 from the very beginning, the reality is that the 2003 SARS outbreak wasn't much of an outbreak. It only infected around 8000 people worldwide (and killed less than 800). That comes to only around 0.0001% of the world's population infected.

So if we only had crossover T-cell immunity to COVID-19 from SARS-CoV-1, while scientifically interesting, it wouldn't have much practical import.

**But it turns out that common cold coronaviruses that pretty much everyone has had can also provide T-cell immunity to COVID-19. In fact, the research shows that around half of us were likely immune to COVID-19 even before the virus that's supposed to cause it ever existed!**

**Moreover, the fact that so many of us started out immune to COVID-19 has huge implications. If half of us turn out to have pre-existing immunity, the claim bandied about that we won't achieve herd immunity till 2/3 have become infected turns out to be total garbage.**

The only bad news is that, even apart from how the discovery of widespread prior immunity to COVID-19 has been kept from us, it's difficult to understand how Redfield and the rest of the medical bureaucrats who pushed us into lockdown couldn't have known from the very beginning that there was a strong possibility that a lot of us would turn out to already be immune to COVID-19.